

Statistical Analysis of 4 events

1. Reject hypothesis that events are all scatter or charm

.019 events expected → rejected to 95%

2. Show consistency of events with ν_τ hypothesis

- Expected number of events assuming ν_τ hypothesis

3.5 expected

- Is each individual event consistent with MC ν_τ events?

3024_30175 .225

3039_01910 .487

3263_25102 .061

3333_17665 .656

- For each event show Prob.(ν_τ) [vs. Prob.(**not** ν_τ)]

3024_30175 .813

3039_01910 .971

3263_25102 .327

3333_17665 .977

Rejection of scatter and charm hypothesis

Rejection of scatter & charm hypothesis:

1. Define an *acceptance interval* in parameter space for τ events using multidimensional histograms of simulated tau events. This will be a combination of “tau” evaluation and cuts on p_t , primary angle and decay length.
2. Calculate number of charm and scatter events expected within that interval using MC generated events \rightarrow normalize to data set of 203
3. Use a Poisson distribution (with expectation value equal to the number of expected charm and scatter events) and number of observed events to see with what confidence the hypothesis can be rejected.

$$.15 + .022 + .016 = .19 \text{ expected} \quad P_{\text{poisson}}(4, .19) = 9.5 \cdot 10^{-7}$$

\rightarrow 4 found : rejected $> 95\%$

Acceptance interval

Acceptance interval criteria:

1. At least 1 segment parent
2. .05 of MC ν_τ events less likely (parameter analysis)
3. $p_t > 250$ MeV
4. Primary angle $< .200$ wrt. neutrino
5. Decay length $< .5$ cm

Asside:

Fraction of ν_τ events in acceptance interval :

1 \rightarrow .85 single charge decay * .75 single segment parent

(properties of MC data set ;only single charge decays and decays with visible parent are in data set.)

2-5 \rightarrow .632 (fraction of MC data set events satisfying criteria 2-5)

$$\Pi_{1-5} = .403$$

Expected number of charm events

	<u>e cc</u>	<u>μ p cc</u>	<u>μ np cc</u>
Data set size	203	203	203
Fraction of interactions	.30	.27	.16
Fraction of interactions producing charm, single charge decay, single segment, trigger, lost e or μ	$\begin{array}{c} \blacklozenge \\ \downarrow \\ 8.84 \cdot 10^{-3} \\ \color{red}{.25} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \blacklozenge \\ \downarrow \\ 1.93 \cdot 10^{-3} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \blacklozenge \\ \downarrow \\ 1.75 \cdot 10^{-3} \end{array}$
Fraction in acceptance interval	.49	.54	.47
Π	.067	.057	.026
$\Sigma =$	$\color{teal}{.15}$		

Expected number of scatter events: CC

	<u>e cc</u>	<u>μ p cc</u>	<u>μ np cc</u>
Data set size	203	203	203
Fraction of interaction	.30	.27	.16
Faction of interactions with lepton lost & trigger	.25 .92	\downarrow .22	\downarrow .45
Average number of scatters in event (within 5mm)	1.82	1.85	1.97
Fraction in acceptance interval	$2.9 \cdot 10^{-4}$	$1.3 \cdot 10^{-4}$	$3.2 \cdot 10^{-4}$
Π	$7.4 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$5.4 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$9.2 \cdot 10^{-3}$

$$\Sigma = \mathbf{.022}$$

Expected number of scatter events : NC

	<u>e cc</u>	<u>μ p cc</u>	<u>μ np cc</u>
Data set size	203	203	203
Fraction of interaction	.090	.083	.048
Faction of interactions with lepton lost & trigger	.73	.77	.60
Average number of scatters in event (within 5mm)	2.07	2.05	2.17
Fraction in acceptance interval	$3.1 \cdot 10^{-4}$	$1.9 \cdot 10^{-4}$	$1.9 \cdot 10^{-4}$
Π	$8.6 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$5.1 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$2.4 \cdot 10^{-3}$
$\Sigma =$.016		

Tau hypothesis: expected number of events

Tau hypothesis:

Is four events consistent with a tau hypothesis?

Hypothesis is that 4.2% of interactions are due to tau.

(from RS thesis: $\# \nu_{\tau} \text{cc} / \# \nu_{\mu} \text{cc} = .152$, $\# \nu_{\mu} \text{cc} = \# \nu_e \text{cc} \dots$)

Data set size	203	
Fraction of all interactions ν_{τ}	.042	
Fraction of ν_{τ} interactions in		
MC data set (.85 C1 *.75 sing.seg.)	.637	
acceptance interval	.632	$\Pi = 3.45$

The 90% confidence limit of a Poisson distribution with expectation value of 3.45 is [1.0, 8.2]

→ 4 consistent

Tau hypothesis: individual event consistency

Within tau hypothesis:

Are individual events consistent with MC ν_τ event distributions ?

- Using multidimensional histograms, one can find the fraction of ν_τ events that are less likely than one with the measured set of parameters.
- This fraction is the sum of all bins which have a lower weighted population than does the bin corresponding to the event in question
a.k.a. “parameter analysis: tau evaluation”

3024_30175	.225
3039_01910	.487
3263_25102	.061
3333_17665	.656

All events are possible ν_τ interactions

Tau hypothesis: probabilities

Within tau hypothesis: Individual event probabilities.

- Hypothesis is that events are either ν_τ , charm or scatters. The probability that an individual event is a ν_τ interactions vs. all other possibilities can be calculated.
- Using multidimensional histograms normalized to unit area as PDFs, $h_i(i|event)$ and (normalizations * rate) as prior probabilities a_i , the probability that an event is of type j is given by

$$P(event|j) = \frac{a_j * h_j(j|event)}{\sum a_i * h_i(i|event)}$$

3024_30175	.813
3039_01910	.971
3263_25102	.327
3333_17665	.977