Electron Tagging

W Emulsion, SFT, EMCal

Bruce Baller

1/30/03

Goal & Method

- Use information from all available systems to tag electrons
- Electron tagging in emulsion is 90% (BB code) efficient for > 1 radiation length and momentum > 10 Gev
  - Efficiency for electrons in period 4 events is only 50%

- Developed SFT and EMCal electron tag code for located events
- Emulsion electron tag code is unchanged since last report
- Developed voting scheme which combines Emulsion (EML), SFT (SFT) and EMCal (EMC) tagging results to improve efficiency and reduce false positives

Radiation length cuts

- EMC and SFT taggers use cuts based on accumulated radiation length in each station
- Use variant of Byron's code in new routine getradlen.sf



- Returns array of radiation length in each SFT station & EMCal
  - Radstn(4), emcrad
- Ex: radstn = (0, 1, 3.1, 5.1) emcrad= 6.1

EMC tagger

- Define a window (win = 20 cm) on the face of the calorimeter for matching primary tracks to clusters
- Only consider primary tracks separated by $\delta\theta >$ win/(zcal – zvtx) from other tracks
- Require tracks project to face of calorimeter and nseg > 2
- Set a track flag bit to indicate that track passes EMC tagger cuts
- Define min cluster energy cut
  - Ecut = 0.8*(7 – emcrad)
  - Min ecut = 0.3
- Find closest cluster to track projection with eclus > ecut and $\delta r < 20$ cm
- Set “EMC_TAG” track flag bit
- Store cluster energy in track array

SFT Tagger

- Consider tracks with nseg > 2
- Only consider primary tracks separated by $\delta\theta > 40$ mr from other primary tracks
- Set a track flag bit to indicate that track passes SFT tagger track cuts
- Define a window for each SFT station for summing pulse height
  - EM shower width ~ radiation length
  - Determine scale factor by scanning MC events to maximize pulse height and minimize pulse height cross-talk between tracks
  - Cut = 0.002 * radstn(stn)
- Sum PH in each view (X,U,V) within cut
- Count number of planes traversed
- Normalize PH to MIP's/plane traversed
- Correct PH in X plane (4 fiber planes)

SFT window cut for 29 GeV electron

<PH> = 20 MIP's/plane



SFT Tagger - Cont

- Determine PH difference in the views
  - Eliminates false positives - hadron tracks with overlapping EM shower in one view

  <PH> = (PHX +PHU +PHV)/3

  PH rms = $[(PHX–PHU)^2 + (PHX\text{-}PHV)^2 + (PHU\text{-}PHV)^2]^{1/2}$/<PH>
- "SFT_TAG" = <PH> > 10 MIP's/plane & PH rms < 0.5
- Set "SFT_TAG" track flag bit
- Store <PH> and PH rms in track array

- One **could** correct PH from overlapping showers in one view using information in the other views

Combining Tagger Results

- EML/SFT are complementary to EMCal
  - Brehm tracks in emulsion → large PH in SFT → reduced EMCal energy
  - Energy sharing dependent on emulsion target station & radiation length
- Define ALL tagger which uses EML, SFT and EMC tagger results
- Ordered set of cuts
- ALL = EML_TAG
- $\overline{\text{ALL}}$ • SFT_TAG • EMC_TAG
- $\overline{\text{ALL}}$ • EMC_TAG • STN > 2
- $\overline{\text{ALL}}$ • (STN >1 • STN < 4) • <PH> > 15 MIP/PLANE

MC Tagger Results

- Evaluate efficiency and rate of false positives on 500 electron CC events in Period 4
- Define track class

  0 = True electron failed all tagger track selection cuts

  1 = True electron correctly tagged

  2 = True electron tagged as hadron

  3 = True hadron tagged as electron

  4 = True hadron tagged as hadron

  5 = True hadron failed all tagger track selection cuts

MC Tagger Results

Track class

	True Electrons 472			True Hadrons 1330		
Tagger	0	1	2	3	4	5
ALL	14%	72%	14%	5%	58%	37%
EMC	28%	51%	21%	6%	45%	48%
SFT	16%	62%	21%	8%	69%	24%
EML	1%	51%	47%	1%	98%	2%

- 72% of electrons correctly tagged
- 5% of hadrons tagged as electrons

- Other bits of information
- 12% of events have >1 electron attached to the primary (IP < 5 micron)
- The true primary electron is tagged in 86% of electron CC events

What next?

- Check failed true electron tags
  - I checked 23 class 2 events with evt_wght > 30
  - 18 event tracks have true electron energy < 10 GeV
  - 21 tracks have no brehm tracks visible in the emulsion
  - 12 tracks failed the SFT tagger 10 MIP cut
  - 9 tracks missed the EMCal
  - 4 tracks have no EMCal cluster < 20 cm
  - 9 tracks failed the EMCal ecut (Eclus < 1 GeV)
- Possible improvements
  - Not much room for improvement in EMC or EML
  - SFT: Unfold overlapping showers
- Send tagging results to Nonaka?